
From: Sabrina Ogar <omahaogars@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 22, 2022 6:56 PM

To: Kent Tinucci <kent.tinucci@longgroveil.gov>

Subject: Checker Traffic Calming Options

Mr. Tinucci,

I will be in Denmark during the next board meeting, April 12. I want to ensure our voices are heard, since we are affected directly. We have watched the last board meeting via YouTube and have read the Gewalt Hamilton Engineers recommendations.

My neighbors - who share the cul-de-sac on the north side of Checker at Pheasant Run – and I agree that a choker is NOT the best choice. Our cul-de-sac is private; therefore, maintained and insured by us, the three homeowners. It will see a considerable amount of traffic during a choker “re-learning” phase; not to mention all the cons and cost (\$25,000) listed by Gewalt Hamilton. The cul-de-sac is not constructed to handle the additional traffic, and we do not want to incur the additional liability.

We know the board will value the opinions of the homeowners’ on Checker. Sean and I support the proposed options in order of preference:

1. Speed Hump (Option 3) or Humps
2. Increased Speed Monitoring (Option 4)
3. Increased Signage or Markings (Option 5)

Or any combination of the above. We are aware of the Pros/Cons and the support each option currently has.

4. Speed Table (Option 2)
5. Traffic Choker (Option 1)

Let us know if there is anything additional we can do to support our choices. Thank you for your continued time and dedication to Long Grove.

Sean & Sabrina Ogar
1861 Pheasant Run
402-709-6974